



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

fw

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/784,660	02/15/2001	M. Salahuddin Khan	N0084 US	2233
37583	7590	09/22/2005	EXAMINER	
NAVIGATION TECHNOLOGIES 222 MERCHANDISE MART SUITE 900, PATENT DEPT. CHICAGO, IL 60654			ARMSTRONG, ANGELA A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2654	

DATE MAILED: 09/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/784,660	KHAN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Angela A. Armstrong	2654

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 May 2005.
- 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.
 - 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) 1-18, 20 and 21 is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 19 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

1. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wakisaka et al (US Patent No. 6,112,174) in view of Zavoli et al (US Patent No. 6,598,016).
2. Regarding claim 19, Wakisaka et al (column 2 line 52) reads on the feature of a positioning system that determines a current location of a vehicle in a region (col 3, lines 26-27). Wakisaka et al (column 54-63) reads on the feature of an automatic speech recognition system that matches data representations of words spoken by a user of the vehicle to a word list of data representations of spoken names of geographic features; Wakisaka et al (AREA 1-n in figure 3b) reads on the feature that the word list of data representations of spoken names of geographic features includes only a portion of all available data representations of spoken names of geographic features contained in a geographic database ... because, each AREA of Wakisaka et al changes nature depending on whether they are in use or not, the remaining dictionaries of Wakisaka et al (as in figure 3B) read on the feature that the word list of data representations of spoken names of geographic features includes only a portion of all available data representations of spoken names of geographic features, When the vehicle is in the appropriate AREA, Wakisaka et al reads on the feature that a first part that changes to include different words as the vehicle travels in the region such that the first part includes words for names of geographic features in proximity to the current location of the vehicle;

The configuration of Wakisaka et al (col 2 Lines 23- col 3 line 45) reads on the feature of a first part and a second part (corresponding to the first storage unit of claim 13 in col 11, lines 57-62), without explicitly stating that the second part does not change. With the invention for a system for using speech recognition with map data, Zavoli teaches modules VR1 and VR2 for voice recognition systems that provide for two separate dictionaries such that one module can be used for numbers and a small set of commands and the other can be a street names for a particular map. This set of more than one module for voice recognition with multiple dictionaries reads on the feature that the word list includes a 2nd part that does not change to include different words as the vehicle travels in the region and that includes words for names of selected geographic features located throughout the region (col 5, line 10 to col. 6, line 31) wherein both the first and second part are available to the automatic speech recognition system at the same time (particularly when representing a trip).

This would have made it obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art of speech signal processing at the time of the invention to apply the method/teachings of Zavoli to the device/method of Wakisaka et al because throughout the course of the trip, destination information does not change, while the present Location does with the progress of the journey, and such a modification would ensure the user always has access to the most pertinent vocabulary and/or word list available within speech recognition capabilities.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 1-18 and 20-21 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed May 13, 2005, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that even if Wakisaka and Zavoli were combined, the resultant combination would still fail to disclose a second part of a word list that does not change...as the vehicle travels and that includes words for names of selected geographic features located throughout the region. The Examiner cannot concur. Zavoli teaches modules VR1 and VR2 for voice recognition systems that provide for two separate dictionaries such that one module can be used for numbers and a small set of commands and the other can be street names for a particular map. This set of more than one module for voice recognition with multiple dictionaries reads on the feature that the word list includes a 2nd part that does not change to include different words as the vehicle travels in the region and that includes words for names of selected geographic features located throughout the region (col 5, line 10 to col. 6, line 31) wherein both the first and second part are available to the automatic speech recognition system at the same time (particularly when representing a trip.

In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge

generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, one of ordinary skill in the art would clearly recognize the advantages of applying the method/teachings of Zavoli to the device/method of Wakisaka et al because throughout the course of the trip, destination information does not change, while the present Location does with the progress of the journey, thereby ensuring the user always has access to the most pertinent vocabulary and/or word list available within speech recognition capabilities..

Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Angela A. Armstrong whose telephone number is 571-272-7598. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 11:30-8:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richemond Dorvil can be reached on 571-272-7602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Angela A Armstrong
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2654

AAA
September 19, 2005

Angela A. Armstrong